

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,694	05/01/2006	Wolfgang Kreisel	64609(70301)	3005	
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 04/16/201 NGELL PALMER & E	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			STONE, CHRISTOPHER R		
BOSTON, MA	A 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/559,694		KREISEL, WOLFGANG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1628	

	CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1628							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this cation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the cation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request ontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	date of the final rejection	n.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(26(a) and the engropriet	o ovtoncion foo						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourser 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.137 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od Coo otto-bad Nation of Nam Co		DTOL 224)						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imals filed amandmar	t concellna the						
non-allowable claim(s).		•							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will vided below or appended.	be entered and an e	xplanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,8,9,11,12,17 and 19.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3.5-7.13-16 and 1	<u>'8</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t does NOT place the application in	oondition for allower	b						
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.									
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:									
	/Brandon J Fetterolf/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1642							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the applied references do not suggest the use of vardensfil for the treatment of portal hypertension and its bleeding complications. This is found unpersausive because the instant claims are not limited to the treatment of portal hypertension or its complications, said claims are limited to the therapy of a patient diagnosed with the disease, i.e. the therapy may be for another condition, e.g. diabetes, in a patient diagnosed with both portal hypertension and diabetes, and the applied references render obvious the administration of vardenafil to such a patient for reasons of record, with a reasonable expectation of success in the therapy of said patient (i.e. the treatment of diabetes). With regard to the request for the withdraw of finality, the previously examined claims did not require that the human be diagnosed with portal hypertension, the amended claims required this limitation and thus necessitated the new quotund of rejection.